

A TREATISE ON AHAVAS YISRAEL BY THE REBBE RASHAB



לע״נ

מרדכי בן שרגא פייבל

יעקובס

Ś

In memory of

Mordechai Jacobs,

for whom achdus and ahavas yisrael were not theory, but an ongoing path in life

PUBLISHER'S FOREWORD

The Rebbe Rashab begins this chapter by focusing on the contrast to the themes expressed in the previous chapters. In those chapters, he emphasized how unity exists among all existence because of its source in G-d's essence and is brought into actual expression through the modulating influence of *Chochmah*. By contrast, in this chapter, he focuses on the division that characterizes the *sitra achra*, the realm of evil.

Fundamentally, that division is rooted in holiness, in the *Sefiros* of the realm of *Tohu* that are lofty spiritual lights. However, those *Sefiros* are characterized by self-orientation; even their *bittul* is for their own sake, to enable them to bond with G-d.

The Rebbe develops this theme by elaborating on the two general thrusts of *ratzo*, the yearning to cling to G-d, and *shov*, the commitment to carrying out His desire for a dwelling on this earthly plane. *Ratzo*, though directed to G-d, is still self-oriented; clinging to G-d satisfies one's own desire. *Shov*, by contrast, involves a commitment to His will. The *Sefiros* of *Tohu* lacked such an inclination. As a result, they shattered, leading to the existence of division and selfishness in this material realm.

In the middle of the chapter, the Rebbe pivots from an abstract, theoretical discussion to a down-to-earth exposition of the strife that could exist among people, how one person can be wedded to his own self-concern to the extent that the very existence of another disturbs him. Friction and disputes arise because of the very fact that people are different and one cannot make space for the other.

* * *

We began publishing *Heichaltzu* as a response to the danger posed by the Covid 19 corona virus. Frequently, the Rebbe had explained that oneness and unity are shields protecting our people – as individuals and as a nation as a whole – from negative influences. Little did we realize how important the theme of oneness and the need to reduce strife would become.

Sometimes when faced with crises in the world at large, a person can feel small

and powerless. When friction is tearing apart the country, it is almost natural to throw up one's hands in despair, wondering what he can do.

That is a wrong approach. *Chassidus* explains that the microcosm affects the macrocosm. A change in one's own world, simply put, a little bit more *achdus*, a genuine show of care for the person next door, creates a ripple effect. This is not only theory. Try it; show a little bit of love to someone else and that person will become more loving and will show love to others.

Each of us can make a difference in our own small world and that will make a difference in the world at large. As *Chabad* chassidim, we realize that the change begins in the mind, adopting a mindset that emphasizes unity and shows how it can be brought about. Therein, lies the importance of studying *Heichaltzu*.

Sichos In English

19 Sivan, 5780

* We will בעז"ה be publishing weekly installments of the maamar. If you would like to receive these texts, contact us via email sichosinenglish@gmail.com or WhatsApp 917-868-6509.

These weekly publications can be sponsored to honor a loved one, a Yortzeit or a simcha by contacting us at **sichosinenglish@gmail.com**.

בס"ד, שמחת תורה ופ' נח רנ"ט

ח) **ןהנה** כ״ז הוא בסט׳ דקדושה, שיש שם בחי׳ הביטול, והוא סיבת ההתאחדות וההתכללות כו׳. משא״כ בסט״א שהם בבחי׳ הפירוד לגמרי, והיינו מצד הישות שבהם כו׳ (דזהו עיקר ההפרש בשרשו בין ספי׳ דתהו לספי׳ דתיקון, דספי׳ דתהו היו בבחי׳ אנא אמלוך³⁵ דוקא, אני ולא אחר כו׳. וגם ענין המלוכה

	All the above – i.e., unity and ability to interrelate – applies in the realm of holiness
שֵׁיֵשׁ שָׁם בְּחִינַת הַבָּטוּל	which is characterized by <i>bittul</i> ,
וְהוּא סִבַּת הַהָתְאַחְדוּת וְהַהָתְכַּלְלוּת כוּ׳.	<i>Bittul</i> brings about the unification and synthesis that characterizes this realm.
מַה שֶׁאֵין כֵּן בְּסִטְרָא אָחֲרָא	By contrast, the <i>sitra achra</i> , the Kabbalistic term for the realm of evil,
שֶׁהֵם בִּבְחִינַת הַפֵּרוּד לְגַמְוִי	is characterized by utter separation
וְהַיְנוּ מִצַּד הַיֵּשׁוּת שֶׁבָּהֶם כוּ׳.	that stems from its <i>yeshus</i> .
	(This is the primary distinction between the <i>Sefiros</i> of <i>Tohu</i> and the <i>Sefiros</i> of <i>Tikkun</i> .
דִּסְפִירוֹת דְּתֹהוּ הָיוּ בִּכְחִינַת אֲנָא אֶמְלוֹך דַּוְקָא	The <i>Sefiros</i> of <i>Tohu</i> were characterized by an atti- tude of self-orientation, each declaring, "I will rule;"
אַנִי וְלֹא אַחֵר כוּ׳.	I and no other.
וְגַם עִנְיַן הַמְּלוּכָה הוּא בְּחִינַת יֵשׁוּת וְהַתְפַּשְׁטוּת כוּ׳.	Kingship also involves <i>yeshus</i> and self-expression.

Malchus, "sovereignty" or "kingship," is one of the Ten *Sefiros*. As is self-understood, such an attribute is solely holy. Nevertheless, that statement does not contradict what is stated here, for *yeshus* is not necessarily a negative quality. On the contrary, G-d in His essence is described as *Yesh HaAmiti*, "the true *Yesh*." Even in the realm of *Tohu*, *Malchus* is fundamentally positive.

5

הוא בחי׳ ישות והתפשטות כו'³⁶. וזהו ג״כ הסיבה מה שהביטול שלהם הי׳ בבחי׳

To explain the concepts by seeing the parallel in the personal realm: there is a difference between self-esteem and self-centeredness. Positive self-esteem is a very important quality.¹ Only when a person is comfortable and secure can he really think of caring for another. Similarly, self-expression, the free-flowing exercise of one's potentials enables a person to carry out the mission for which G-d entrusted him with these potentials and gifts.

However, as the Rebbe Rashab emphasizes below, in a full sense, the positive expression of the quality of *Malchus* is found in the realm of *Tikkun*, because that realm is characterized by the *bittul* stemming from the influence of *Chochmah*. When that modulating influence is lacking, *Malchus*, as well as a person's other qualities, will be characterized by self-orientation and ultimately, lead to a negative outcome. Indeed, the *Sefiros* of *Tohu* are referred to in Kabbalah² and *Chassidus*³ via an analogy to the kings of Edom, who "ruled and died."⁴

קוָהוּ גַם כֵּן הַסְבָּה מַה For this reason, the *bittul* of the *Sefiros* of *Tohu* was characterized solely by the thrust of *ratzo*, yearning for union with G-d

As mentioned in ch. 3, the *Sefiros* of *Tohu* represent lofty spiritual powers. However, at this level, these powers are revealed in the elemental stage, each one seeking its own self-expression without restrictions, unfettered by the modulating influence of intellect or any other limiting force. Realizing that they had emanated from G-d's light, they each sought to return to that source – and to do so without anything holding them back.

The paradox of the realm of *Tohu* – that on one hand, its *Sefiros* were characterized by intense *bittul*, while conversely, it is the source of *yeshus* – can be explained based on a *maamar*⁵ of the Rebbe Maharash who paraphrases a teaching of our

^{1.} See *Likkutei Dibburim* (in English translation), Vol. 4, p. 91, which quotes the Rebbe Rashab as teaching, "Just as a person ought to know his own shortcomings, so too, should he know the positive qualities that he possesses." See also *Likkutei Sichos*, Vol. 22, p. 159ff., *et al.*, that cites the positive lessons that can be derived from the fact that the Torah was given on Mount Sinai, a mountain, i.e., that the Torah should endow a person with the strength and confidence that a mountain represents. See also *K'llalei HaChinuch VehaHadrachah*, ch. 10, that states that "self-esteem is fundamentally necessary;... it endows a man with his humanity."

^{2.} Shaar HaHakdamus, p. 39b.

^{3.} Maamarei Admur HaZakein, 5568, Vol. 1, p. 39, et al.

^{4.} Bereishis 36:31-39.

^{5.} Sefer HaMaamarim 5631, p. 556.

רצוא לבד, ולא בבחי׳ שוב, כידוע ומבואר במ״א״, והיינו כידוע״ שבחי׳ רצוא אינו

Sages (*Chulin* 108b) regarding *kashrus:* "Since it is occupied in absorption, it does not release." Thus, "Since [the *Sefiros* of *Tohu*] are occupied [entirely] with [their absorption] in the Essence of the *Ein Sof*, 'they do not release,' they do not release influence," i.e., because these *Sefiros* cling to the *Ein Sof*, G-d's Essence and realize that He is the True Being, their own being is subsumed in His light and does not feel themselves as independent entities. Hence, they do not seek to impart influence to others. Thus, because the *Sefiros* of *Tohu* seek to transcend their own identity and unite with G-d, it can be said that they are characterized by *bittul*. However, that very drive to self-transcendence is also self-oriented; they are concerned solely with their bond to G-d and are unable to relate to other entities.

וְלֹא בִּרְחִינַת שׁוֹב כַּיָּדוֹשַ and did not involve *shov*¹ returning to one's created existence and subordinating one's will to G-d's, as explained in other sources.⁶

Since all existence is an emanation of G-dly light and not a truly independent entity, two fundamental dynamics operate simultaneously within it. On one hand, the light is extending outward, creating an existence that has – at least on the surface – an independent identity. Simultaneously, since it is an expression outside its source, it is naturally drawn to its source and continually feels an inherent tendency to return to it.⁷ These two dynamics are constant, like breath for a human being or any other animal, which is characterized by inhaling and exhaling.

These dynamics produce two tendencies in the existence brought into being by that light, described by the kabbalists with the terms, *ratzo* and *shov*. *Ratzo* refers to the desire within the entity brought into existence to return to its source and *shov* to its tendency to feel its own identity.

In *avodah* (Divine service), *ratzo* refers to the desire to cling to G-d because one is aware of His greatness and desires to be one with Him. *Shov* refers to the awareness that G-d's intent in creation is to bring about a dwelling in the lowest realms⁸ and accordingly, one focuses on drawing down G-dliness into those lowly realms and making them fit to be His dwelling.

^{6.} See Torah Or, Parshas Vayishlach, the maamar entitled VaYakam BaLailah... VaYikach, p. 25a; Toras Chayim, loc. cit., p. 193d ff.

^{7.} See Tanya, ch. 19.

^{8.} Tanya, ch. 36.

ביטול אמיתי, כ״א הרגש עצמו כו׳, ועיקר הביטול הוא בבחי׳ השוב דוקא, וזהו³⁷ לא הי׳ בספי׳ דתהו, מצד הישות שבהם. ולכן היו בבחי׳ ענפין מתפרדין כו׳. משא״כ

ן הַיְנוּ כַּיָדוּעַ שֶׁבְחִינַת As is well-known, *ratzo* is not an expression of רַצוֹא אֵינוֹ בְּטוּל אֲמָתִי true bittul,

Although *ratzo* reflects a desire to cling to G-d, it does not reflect a complete sense of *bittul*,

. כִּי אָם הֶרְגָשׁ עַצְמוֹ כוּ but stems from feelings of self.

On a basic level, *ratzo* stems from the entity's natural desire to return to its source. In *avodah*, it is aroused by the awareness of G-d's greatness that motivates a desire to unite with Him. In both instances, even though the entity or the person will to a certain extent rise above itself or himself, that very self-transcendence is an expression of the entity's or the person's selfhood.

וְעָקַר הַבְּטוּל הוּא Fundamentally, *bittul* is expressed by the quality פּבְחִינַת הַשׁוֹב דַוְקָא of shov.

Instead of seeking to cling to its source, the entity aligns itself with the G-dly thrust towards downward expression. In *avodah*, one commits himself to G-d's intent to establish a dwelling in the lowest realm and therefore, focuses on living in the context of that realm.

וְזֶהוּ לֹא הָיָה בִּסְפִירוֹת	The yeshus of the Sefiros of Tohu prevented them
רְּתֹהוּ מִצַּר הַיֵּשׁוּת שֶׁבָּהֶם.	from expressing the <i>bittul</i> of <i>shov</i> .
וְלָכֵן הָיוּ בִּרְחִינַת	Therefore, they remained "separate branches,"10
עַנְפִין מִתְפָּרְדִין כוּ׳.	i.e., they did not interrelate with each other.

Because the *Sefiros* of *Tohu* were characterized by *ratzo*, the desire to return to their G-dly source, and *yeshus*, self-orientation, their yearning to cling to G-d was all-encompassing. They did not have inner space to consider bonding with a *Sefirah* of a different nature. To cite an example from the personal realm: a person who is solely absorbed in giving to others (one who expresses *Chesed*) will express that quality without any restraints, without considering whether the recipient is at all worthy. He does not control his generosity at all (he fails to show *Gevurah*).

See the maamar entitled Acharei Mos, 5649, Sefer HaMaamarim 5646-5750, p. 233ff.; the maamar entitled Ki Ka'asher HaShamayim, 5666, the series of maamarim entitled Yom Tov shel Rosh HaShanah, 5666, p. 155ff.
See Zohar, Vol. II, p. 123b.

בספי׳ דתיקון שהן בבחי׳ הביטול, ולכן עיקרן בבחי׳ שוב, שז״ע ההתיישבות שיש בתיקון, שהוא בחי׳ השוב להיות מתיישב בכלי דוקא כו׳, כידוע וכמ״ש במ״א. ומשבה״כ דתהו נעשה למטה מציאות הסט״א בבחי׳ יש ורע גמור כו׳). וזהו סיבת הפירוד וההתחלקות כו׳. וז״ע קלי׳ מדין, שהוא המדון והריב ושנאת חנם מאיש

מַה שֶׁאֵין כֵּן בִּסְפִירוֹת דְּתִקוּן שֶׁהֵן בִּבְחִינַת הַבָּטוּל	By contrast, the <i>Sefiros</i> of <i>Tikkun</i> are character- ized by <i>bittul</i> .
וְלָכֵן עִקֶּרָן בִּרְחִינַת שׁוֹב	Therefore, their primary tendency is <i>shov</i> . Since they are characterized by self-transcendence, they are aligned with G-d's fundamental intent.
שֶׁזֶּהוּ עִנְיַן הַהִתְיַשְׁבוּת שֶׁיֵשׁ בְּתַקוּן	This results in the tendency towards stability and balance that prevails within the realm of <i>Tikkun</i> .
שֶׁהוּא בְּחִינַת הַשׁוֹב לְהִיוֹת מִתְיַשֵּׁב בִּכְלִי דַּוְקָא כוּ׳, כַּיָדוּעַ וּכְמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּמָקוֹם אַחֵר.	As a result, the realm of <i>Tikkun</i> is characterized by <i>shov</i> . G-d's infinite light is settled within the <i>keilim</i> ("vessels") in which it is enclothed, as is well-known and explained in other sources. ¹¹

Thus, the realm of *Tikkun* is the source of the order and structure that prevails in our world.

וּמִשְׁבִירַת הַכֵּלִים דְּתֹהוּ	By contrast, the "shattering of the vessels" that resulted from the tendency of <i>ratzo</i> and the self-ori- entation that characterized the realm of <i>Tohu</i> , as explained in ch. 3.
	ultimately, brought about the existence of the realm of evil in our world, that is characterized by <i>yeshus</i> and utter evil.
וְזֶהוּ סִבַּת הַפֵּרוּד וְהַהָתְחַלְקוּת כוּ׳.	Division and separation arise for this reason.
וְזֶהוּ עִנְיַן קְלִפַּת מִדְיָן	This is the nature of the <i>kelipah</i> of Midian;
	it is the force leading to strife, contention, and baseless hatred of one's fellowman.

^{11.} See Toras Menachem, Sefer HaMaamarim Melukat, Vol. 2, p. 460, and the sources mentioned there.

לרעהו, גם שלא עשה לו שום רעה כלל, ולא נגע בכל אשר לו, לא דבר וחצי דבר כו', וגם הוא אינו חורש רעה עליו כלל, רק שלא יוכל לסובלו, והוא שונא אותו בתכלית, עד שלא יכול לדבר עמו ולא להתערב עמדו בשום דבר, אף בדבר שבקדושה. והסיבה לזה הוא רק מצד הישות שלו אינו נותן מקום להזולת, והוא מנגד לו (אף שלא עשה

At this point, the Rebbe Rashab changes the focus of the *maamar* from the theoretical and abstract sphere, to the personal, showing how the concepts explained above are expressed in interpersonal relations and in the individual Divine service of every person.

	As a result of it, one person hates another even though the other has done him no harm ,
וְלֹא נָגַע בְּכָל אֲשֶׁר לוֹ לֹא דָבָר וַחֲצִי דָבָר כוּ׳,	nor has he touched anything belonging to him at all,
וְגַם הוּא אֵינוֹ חוֹרֵשׁ רָעָה עָלָיו כְּלָל.	or does he plan any evil against him.
רַק שֶׁלֹּא יוּכַל לְסָבְלוֹ	despite the other person's blamelessness, the first simply cannot tolerate the other
וְהוּא שׂוֹנֵא אוֹתוֹ בְּתַכְלִית	and hates him utterly
עַד שֶׁלֹא יָכוֹל לְדַבֵּר עִמּוֹ וְלֹא לְהַתְעָרֵב עִמְדוֹ בְּשׁוּם דָּבָר, אַף בְּדָבָר שֶׁבִּקְדַשָּׁה.	to the point where he will neither speak to him nor join him in any endeavor, even a holy one. ¹²
וְהַסָּבָּה לְזֶה הוּא רַק מִצֵּד הַיֵּשׁוּת שֶׁלוֹ אֵינוֹ נוֹתֵן מָקוֹם לְהַזּוּלַת,	This hatred stems solely from his own yeshus which leaves no room for anyone else.

When a person is preoccupied with self-concern, he will see everything in terms of his own needs and wants. He will look at others solely as they fit into his own plans, without being able to find the inner space to see them as they are, what they need, and what would make them feel good. Instead, he will constantly view them as intruding upon him.¹³ If such a person is compelled to interact with others, friction will inevitably arise between them.

^{12.} Since the endeavor is within the sphere of holiness, one might think that the persons involved would be more willing to go beyond their self-interest.

^{13.} See ch. 4 above where similar points are made.

לו שום רעה, ואין בו שום התנגדות פרטי נגדו) רק בזה שהוא נמצא בעולם, שבזה ה״ה ממעט ישותו, ומשום זה אינו יכול לסובלו, ושונא אותו, ומכ״ש שאינו יכול להתחבר עמו כו׳. וכמו שנמצא בעו״ה בדורות האחרונים בכל מקומות מושבות בנ״י, שיש חילוקי דיעות בכל דבר ובכל ענין מענינים הכללים, ובפרט בדברים שבקדושה

ןְהוּא מ <u>ְנ</u> גֵּר לוֹ	He will oppose another person
אַף שֶׁלֹא עָשָׂה) לו שוּם רָעָה	(even though the other has never harmed him.
	The other person arouses negative feelings although he has no particular quarrel with him; there is nothing specific that he can pinpoint about the other that bothers him).
	It is just that the very fact that this other person exists in the world
שֶׁבְּזֶה הְ <u>ה</u> ַרִי הוּא מְמַעֵּט יֵשׁוּתוֹ	detracts from his <i>yeshus</i> .
וּמִשׁוּם זֶה אֵינוֹ יָכוֹל לְסָבְלוֹ וְשׂוֹנֵא אוֹתוֹ	This is why he finds him intolerable, hates him
וּמִכָּל שֶׁבֵּן שֶׁאִינוֹ יָכוֹל לְהַתְחַבֵּר צִמּוֹ כוּ׳.	and, needless to say, cannot join together with him.
ּוּכְמוֹ שֶׁנִּמְצָא בַּעֲוֹנוֹתֵינוּ הָרַבִּים בְּדוֹרוֹת הָאַחֲרוֹנִים בְּכָל מְקוֹמוֹת מוֹשְׁבוֹת בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל	Due to our many sins ¹⁴ in these later generations, such a state exists in every Jewish community.
	There are differences of opinion on every ques- tion or issue of public concern,
וּבִפְרָט בִּדְבָרִים שֶׁבִּקְדַשָּׁה	particularly those questions that deal with holy matters

^{14. &}quot;Due to our many sins" is a commonly used Rabbinic expression.

(כמו בעניני הרבנים ושוחטים ועניני ביהכנ״ס וכדומה), שא״א להתאחד, אדרבא, מה שזה אומר, אומר חבירו בהיפך, ומה שזה בונה זה מהרס. והחילוקי דיעות באמת הם פירוד לבבות, דמה שאומר בהיפך מזולתו, אין זה מצד ששכלו מחייב כן, כ״א אומר מה שהוא רוצה, ולא מצד הכרח השכל, ולמה הוא רוצה כך, זהו רק מפני שחבירו רוצה באופן אחר כו׳. דהכלל הוא שצריך לרצות ולאמר בהיפך מזולתו, והיינו מצד

(כְּמוֹ בְּעָנְיְנֵי הָרַבָּנִים וְשׁוֹחֲטִים וְעָנְיְנֵי בֵּית הַכְּנֶסֶת וְכַדּוֹמֶה)	(for example, questions concerning rabbis, <i>shoch-tim</i> [ritual slaughterers], synagogue affairs, and the like).
שָׁאִי אֶפְשָׁר לְהָתְאַחֵד.	People find it impossible to unite.
אַדְרַבָּה מַה שֶׁזֶּה אוֹמֵר אוֹמֵר חֲבֵרוֹ בְּהֵפֶךָ.	On the contrary, if one person says one thing, another will contradict him.
וּמַה שֶׁזֶּה בּוֹנֶה זֶה מְהָרֵס.	What one builds, another destroys.
וְהַחָלוּאֵי דֵעוֹת בֶּאֲמֶת הֵם פֵּרוּד לְבָבוֹת,	These differences of opinion are in fact a divi- sion of hearts. The discord between the two does not remain merely in the abstract realm. It affects their feelings and ultimately leads to actual strife.
דְּמַה שֶׁאוֹמֵר בְּהֵפֶּךְ מִזּוּלָתוֹ	When one disagrees with another's opinion,
אֵין זֶה מִצַּר שֶׁשָּׂכְלוֹ מְחַיֵּב כֵּן,	it is not because his mind dictates that he must.
כִּי אָם אוֹמֵר מַה שֶׁהוּא רוֹצֶה וְלֹא מִצֵּד הֶכְרַח הַשֵּׁכֶל,	It is only that he says what he wants, not what is determined by his mind. The person may think that he is speaking objectively, but in truth it is his heart not his mind that determines what he says.
וְלָמָה הוּא רוֹצֶה כָּך	Why does one man want such-and-such?
זֶהוּ רַק מַפְּנֵי שֶׁחֲבֵרוֹ רוֹצֶה בְּאֹפֶן אַחֵר כוּ׳.	Because his colleague wants something different. ¹⁵

^{15.} See Sefer HaSichos, 5749, p. 604, footnote 90, where the Rebbe writes: "Differences of opinion among Jews are more extreme than those that exist among mankind at large,... to refer to a common expression, "Where there are two Jews, there are three opinions." Each person has his own approach, and then, there is a third approach that they both share – to say the opposite of what the other says."

הפירוד לבבות, שאינם יכולים לסבול זא״ז, ומשום זה הם מנגדים זל״ז כו׳ (ועי״ז נפסד הענין הכללי הנצרך ומוכרח כו׳. ואינם מביטים ע״ז כלל, כי העיקר הוא ישות עצמו כו׳).

קיצור. הפרש דספי' דתיקון ותהו — ביטול וישות שוב ורצוא. ישות סיבה לפירוד ושנאת חנם.

The general rule is that one person must want and say the opposite of someone else.	
Such differences arise as a result of a division o hearts,	וְהַיְנוּ מִצַּד הַפֵּרוּד לְבָבוֹת
because the two people cannot tolerate each other	שֶׁאִינָם יְכוֹלִים לִסְבּוֹל זֶה אֶת זֶה
and hence become opponents.	וּמִשׁוּם זֶה הֵם מְנַגְּדִים זֶה לְזֶה כוּ׳.
(Due to this, the public good, even things that are necessary and essential, are forfeited.	
Yet the loss is ignored since each is obsessed with his own yeshus.)	
Summary:	קצור.
The chapter outliness the distinctions between:	<u>הָפְר</u> ָשׁ
the Sefiros of Tikkun and Tohu	– דִּסְפִירוֹת דְּתִקּוּן וְתֹהוּ
bittul and yeshus,	בּטוּל וְיֵשׁוּת
shov and ratzo.	שוֹב וְרָצוֹא.
<i>Yeshus</i> is the cause of separation and baseles hatred.	יֵשׁוּת סִבָּה לְפֵרוּד וְשִׂנְאַת חִנָּם.

החלצו – רנ״ט

- יז) ראה תו״א וישלח ד״ה ויקם בלילה גו׳ ויקח [כה, ב], ובתו״ח שם [קצג, ד ואילך].
- יח) ראה ד״ה אחרי מות, רמ״ט [סה״מ תרמ״ט ע׳ רנט ואילך] ד״ה כי כאשר השמים, תרס״ו [המשך תרס״ו ע׳ קצד ואילך].
 - . ובכ״מ. (כשם האד״ר). ובכ״מ. (כשם הזהר). דרמ״צ קסא, ב. קע, ב (בשם האד״ר). ובכ״מ.
 - 36) ראה גם ד״ה כשמן הטוב שנה זו (סה״מ תרנ״ט ע׳ קכב).

(37 וזהו: אוצ״ל: וזה.

14

POINTS TO PONDER

✦ Big Picture

What does it mean to be a "big picture" thinker according to Chassidus?

✦ Ego in Idealism

Is there a downside in unadulterated idealism?

♦ What insight can you glean from Heichaltzu chapter 8?

